PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Col Balwinder Singh Bajwa (Retd.),

S/o S. Daleep Singh Bajwa, R/o 314, Green Valley Heights, Krishanpura, Dhakoli, Distt Mohali-160104..

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Nodal Officer, Station Head Quarters, Unchibassi, Distt Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o RTI Cell HQ 21, Sub Area-908021

Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 664 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Col Balwinder Singh Bajwa, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Col. Vinimay Thapa.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.10.2020 vide which respondent authority submitted a written reply to the commission which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 13247 dated 05.10.2020. Appellant Col. Balwinder Singh stated that no information /reply have been received from the respondent authority so far.

Respondent authority was directed the to send the copy of submitted reply dated 05.10.2020 to the appellant through registered post or via email before the next date of hearing positively. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 09.12.2020 i.e. today.

- 2. In today's hearing, appellant, Col Balwinder Singh Bajwa states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO and he is not satisfied with the supplied reply.
- 3. On this, respondent, Col. Vinimay Thapa states that reply dated 05.10.2020 was sent to the appellant, as per the directions by the Commission vide Orders dated 06.10.2020. He added that requisite information could not be supplied as it was not approved by the competent authority. He also mentioned that nothing is left to be supplied from the respondent's side.
- 4. After discussing with both the parties in detail regarding the RTI application and supplied reply/information. It is observed that sufficient reply has been supplied to the appellant by the respondent PIO. Respondent PIO also replied each and every observation of the Commission mentioned in order dated 24.08.2020 through reply filed by the respondent dated 30.09.2020 which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 13247 dated 05.10.2020 along with its enclosures, which are already taken on record.

Appeal Case No.: 664 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

5. It is also observed that appellant, Col Balwinder Singh Bajwa filed RTI application dated

07.08.2019 through which he demanded the following information:

'Board proceedings for employment of OIC Polyclinics ECHS Talwara Station Head

Quarters Unchibassi on the basis of selection process conducted on 18 June 2018'.

Respondent PIO replied RTI application on 17.09.2019 within the stipulated time period but due

to not satisfaction by the supplied reply, appellant filed First Appeal to the First Appellate

Authority on 23.10.2019 which was decided and disposed of by First Appellate Authority dated

30.11.2019

6. I am of the considered view that respondent PIO conduct is satisfactory and supplied

reply is sufficient as per RTI Act, 2005. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this

case. Hence, this instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 09.12.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

2/2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill #986, Near Dev Hotel, Main Bazar, Moga

oga Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o ADGP (Crime), Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority O/o ADGP (Crime), Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1214 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill, the appellant.

For the respondent: ASI, Gurmeet Singh (9780001662)

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.10.2020 vide which appellant submitted a written reply which was received in the commission vide diary no. 13180 dated 01.10.2020. Appellant Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill was advised to inspect the record pertaining to his RTI application and the respondent Public Information Officer was directed to make available the record for inspection. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 09.12.2020 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill states that wrong information has been supplied to him by the respondent
- 3. On this, respondent, ASI, Gurmeet Singh states that a letter dated 12.11.2020 was sent to the appellant regarding the inspection as per the previous Orders of the Commission dated 06.10.2020 but he had not visited the respondent's office. He added that information received from the inquiry officer has already been supplied to the appellant. Nothing is left to be supplied.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, Commission observed that under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, only such information as is available and existing and held by the public authority or is under control of the public authority can be provided.

It is observed that available requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. A copy of letter no. 14531-33/C dated 12.11.2020 is also on record which was issued to the appellant regarding inspection. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 09.12.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Tejinder Singh (9041004313)

R/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chnadigrah Road, Ludhiana-141123

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director, Public Relation and Information, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, 5th Floor, Sector 1, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Public Relation and Information, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, 5th Floor, Sector 1, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.:732 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill, the appellant.

For the respondent: ASI, Gurmeet Singh (9780001662)

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.10.2020 vide which appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh stated that requisite information is still pending. It was observed that notice of hearing which was issued to the respondent PIO was not delivered and a copy of previous order was sent to the respondent PIO on the correct address which is O/o Director, Public Relation and Information, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, 5th Floor, Sector 1, Chandigarh through registered post for his ready reference. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 09.12.2020 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO. He added that he is not satisfied with the supplied reply.
- 3. On this, respondent, ASI, Gurmeet Singh appellant demanded the following information in his RTI application dated 30.07.2019:

ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮਿਤੀ 12-7-2013 ਨੂੰ ਹਫਤਾਵਾਰੀ ਤੇ ਪੰਦਰਵਾੜਾ ਅਖਬਾਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਸੰਪਾਦਕਾਂ ਦੀ ਜੋ ਐਕਰੀਡੇਸ਼ਨ ਲੈਣ ਸਮੇਂ ਜੇ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਲਗਾਏ ਗਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਨਿਯਮਾਂ ਤੇ ਖਰੇ ਨਹੀਂ ਉਤਰਦੇ | ਇਸ ਬਾਬਤ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਦਫ਼ਤਰੀ ਹੁਕਮ ਨੰਬਰ: ਪੀ. ਆਰ. (ਪੀ. ਐਫ. ਏ.) - 2013/1030-31 ਮਿਤੀ 11-12-2013 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਡਿਪਟੀ ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਕੇ. ਐਲ. ਰੱਤੂ (ਉਤਪਾਦਨ) ਨੂੰ ਪੜਤਾਲੀਆ ਅਫਸਰ ਨਿਯੁਕਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ, ਦਸਿਆ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ ਉਕਤ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਤੇ ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ ਜੋ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਹੋਈ ਉਸ ਫਾਇਲ ਦੀ ਪਰੀ ਕੰਪਲੀਟ ਫਾਇਲ ਦੀ ਫੋਟੋ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਸ਼ਦਾ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ |

He added that requisite information could not be supplied on the plea of section 8 (1) (j) as it relates with third party and as per point no. 13 of decision passed by Supreme Court dated 03.10.2012, which is as follows:

"It would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of individuals and has no relationship to any public activity of interest"

- 4. In wake of above, I am of the considered view supplied reply is satisfactory as requisite information is exempted under RTI Act, 2005. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 09.12.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner